

The UAF Faculty Senate passed the following at Meeting #199 on May 5, 2014:

MOTION:


The UAF Faculty Senate moves to approve the Unit Criteria for the Department of Computer Science

EFFECTIVE: Fall 2014
 Upon Chancellor Approval

RATIONALE: The Unit Criteria Committee reviewed the unit criteria which were
submitted by the Department of Computer Science. With minor revisions, the unit criteria

**UAF REGULATIONS FOR THE APPOINTMENT AND EVALUATIONS OF FACULTY
AND COMPUTER SCIENCE UNIT CRITERIA, STANDARDS, AND INDICES**

*THE FOLLOWING IS AN ADAPTATION OF UAF AND BOARD OF REGENTS' CRITERIA FOR
ANNUAL REVIEW, PRE-TENURE REVIEW, POST-TENURE REVIEW, PROMOTION, AND TENURE,*



Deans of schools and colleges, and directors when appropriate, in conjunction with the faculty in a unit, shall observe procedures for advertisement, review, and selection of candidates to fill any vacant faculty position. These procedures are set by UAE Human Resources and the Campus

CHAPTER III

Periodic Evaluation of Faculty

A. General Criteria

Criteria as outlined in "UAF Faculty Appointment and Evaluation Policies," Chapter IV, evaluators may consider, but shall not be limited to, whichever of the following are appropriate to the faculty member's professional obligation: mastery of subject matter; effectiveness in teaching; achievement in research, scholarly, and creative activity; effectiveness of public service; effectiveness of

university service; demonstration of professional development and quality of total contribution to the university.

For purposes of evaluation at UAF, the total contribution to the university and activity in the areas

a. are highly organized, plan carefully, use class time efficiently, have clear objectives, have high expectations for students:

b. progress positive regard for students develop good rapport with students show

a. **Components of Evaluation**

Effectiveness in teaching will be evaluated through information on formal and informal teaching, course and curriculum material, recruiting and advising, training/guiding graduate students, etc., provided by:

1. systematic student ratings, i.e. student opinion of instruction summary forms, and at least

2. narrative self-evaluations

c. peer/department chair classroom observation(s),

d. peer/department chair evaluation of course materials.

C. Criteria for Research, Scholarly, and Creative Activity

Inquiry and originality are central functions of a land grant/sea grant/space grant university and all

faculty with a research component in their assignment must remain active as scholars. Consequently, faculty are expected to conduct research or engage in other scholarly or creative

c. Presentation of research papers before learned societies that accept papers only after rigorous review and approval by peers.

d. Exhibitions of art AND ENGINEERING work SCIENTIFIC VISUALIZATIONS AND

for these exhibitions *IS* being based on rigorous review and approval by juries, recognized artists, or critics.

e. Performances in recitals or productions, selection for these performances being based on

AFTER THE PREVIOUS TENURE/PROMOTION/APPOINTMENT, WITH DOCUMENTED EVIDENCE OF

INTERNATIONAL REPUTATION OF THE CANDIDATE (E.G., AS DEMONSTRATED BY A HIGH NUMBER OF ARTICLE CITATIONS, PROFESSIONAL ACTIVITIES, PRESENTATIONS AT MEETINGS, AND DOCUMENTED OPINIONS OF OTHER ENGINEERS AND SCIENTISTS IN THE FIELD) IS EXPECTED.

THE DISCIPLINE OF COMPUTER SCIENCE DOES NOT PREFER JOURNAL OVER CONFERENCE PUBLICATION, AND A SELECTIVE CONFERENCE (E.G., SIGGRAPH) IS MORE PRESTIGIOUS THAN AN AVERAGE JOURNAL. THIS FACULTY EVALUATION MUST INCLUDE ALL PEER-REVIEWED

PUBLICATIONS.

i Radio and TV programs, newspaper articles and columns, publications, newsletters, films.

computer applications, teleconferences and other educational media.

- e. Organizer, session organizer, or moderator for professional meetings.
- f. Service on a national or international review panel or committee.

4. Evaluation of Service

Each individual faculty member's proportionate responsibility in service shall be reflected in annual workload agreements. In formulating criteria, standards and indices for evaluation, promotion, and tenure, individual units should include examples of service activities and measures for evaluation appropriate for that unit. Excellence in public and university service may be demonstrated through e.g. appropriate letters of commendation, recommendation, and/or

nomination, certificates and awards and other public means of recognition for services rendered

SPECIFIC CS CRITERIA FOR SERVICE PERFORMANCE BEFORE PROMOTION/TENURE OR APPOINTMENT TO.

I. ASSISTANT PROFESSOR: EVIDENCE OF A COMMITMENT TO CONTRIBUTE TO THE SERVICE MISSION OF THE COLLEGE.

II. ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR: POSITIVE CONTRIBUTIONS TO DEPARTMENTAL AND/OR UNIVERSITY