The ~~new~~ program review process will be completed as follows:

1. An initial ~~brief~~ review based on centrally generated productivity and efficiency summary and a unit provided ~~two-page~~ *brief* narrative describing mission centrality, the prospective market for graduates, the existence of similar programs elsewhere at UA, and any special circumstances that explain features of the centrally generated productivity and efficiency summary (see attached program review template for more details). The information reviewed meets the Board of Regents Policy and Regulation (10.06; attached). A single Faculty Program Review Committee comprised of one ~~tenured~~ faculty representative from each college and school (not including CRCD) plus ~~five CRCD representatives~~ *one representative from CRCD and one representative from CTC* will review the materials and make the following recommendations:
   - Continue program
   - Continue program but improve outcomes assessment process and reporting
   - Continue program but improve other specific areas ~~or~~
   - *Suspend admissions to program or*
   - Discontinue program

   The committee will provide a brief narrative justifying their recommendation and describe any areas needing improvement prior to the next review.
2. An Administrative Program Review Committee comprised of the Deans of Colleges and Schools and 4 administrative representatives from CRCD will review the recommendations of the Program Review Committee, may request additional information from ~~about~~ the program, and will state their collective agreement or disagreement with the Committee's recommendation.
3. The Provost in consultation with the Chancellor's Cabinet will review the recommendations of the Faculty Program Review Committee and the Administrative Program Review Committee and take one of the following actions:
   a) Program continuation is confirmed ~~until the next review cycle~~.
   b) Program continuation with an